### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

)

PAUL A. BONACCI, Plaintiff, ) ) vs. The Catholic Archbishop of Omaha, a non-profit corporation, Lawrence King, Peter Citron, Alan Baer, Harold Anderson, Robert Wadman, Michael Hoch, Kenneth Bovasso, Nebraska Psychological Association, Inc., a non-profit, corporation, The City of Omaha, Nebraska, a municipal corporation, The Omaha Public School District, Omaha World Herald Company, a corporation, J.L. Brandeis and Sons, Inc., a corporation, The Douglas County GrandOTICE Jury, Michael Flanagan, Samuel Van Pelt

CIVIL 91-3037

NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

TO: THE DOUGLAS COUNTY GRAND JURY ATTN: Foreman Michael Flanagan c/o Union Pacific Railroad Company 1416 Dodge, Omaha NE. 68102

The enclosed summons and complaint are served pursuant to Rule 4(c)(2)(C)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

You must complete the acknowledgment part of this form and return one copy of the completed form to the sender within 20 days.

You must sign and date the acknowledgment. If you are served on behalf of a corporation, unincorporated association (including partnership), or other entity, you must indicate under your signature your relationship of that entity. If you are served on behalf of another person and you are authorized to receive process, you must indicate under your signature your authority.

If you do not complete and return the form to the sender within 20 days, you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) may be required to pay any expenses incurred in serving a summons and complaint in any other manner permitted by law.

If you do complete and return this form, you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) must answer the complaint within 20 days. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that this Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt of Summons and Complaint was mailed on Signature

Date of Signature

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I received a copy of the summons and of the complaint in the above-captioned matter at

Relationship to Entity/
Authority to Receive Service
of Process

Date of Signature

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

91 FEB 28 AM 11: 42-21

PAUL BONACCI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS

CITY OF OMAHA, MICHAEL HOCH

AND KENNETH BOVASSO

Defendants.

COME NOW the respective defendants City of Omaha, Michael Hoch and Kenneth Bovasso, and for their individual answers to the Amended Complaint of Paul Bonacci filed herein, state, allege and aver as follows:

- 1. Defendants admit so much of pargraph 7 of plaintiff's Amended Complaint as alleges that Michael Hoch is employed by the City of Omaha as a police officer.
- 2. Defendants admit so much of paragraph 8 of plaintiff's Amended Complaint as alleges that Kenneth Bovasso is employed by the City of Omaha as a police officer.
- 3. Defendants admit so much of paragraph 10 as alleges that the City of Omaha is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nebraska.
- 4. Defendants deny each and every other allegation of plaintiff's Amended Complaint except those constituting admissions against plaintiff's interest.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

5. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

- 6. Plaintiff's claims which are premised upon acts which allegedly occurred on or prior to February 1, 1987 are barred in time by the statute of limitations.
- 7. The individually named defendants Hoch and Bovasso are entitled to qualified immunity from liability and from suit.
- 8. Plaintiff lacks capacity to sue. In support of this allegation defendants show the Court that: (a) plaintiff suffers from Multiple Personality Disorder; (b) many of the incidents alleged in the Amended Complaint were perpetrated upon personalities other than the plaintiff; (c) the plaintiff, in the personality of Paul Bonacci does not have personal knowledge or understanding of many events alleged in the Amended Complaint. See attachments to plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 9. Plaintiff has failed to allege, with the requisite degree of particularity, any overt act committed by the defendants City, Bovasso, or Hoch, in furtherance of a conspiracy.
- 10. Plaintiff has failed to clearly establish, as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 8a, that defendants Bovasso and Hoch are connected to the alleged wrongful acts; and he has failed to establish the basis upon which relief is sought against these defendants.

WHEREFORE, the answering defendants pray that the Amended Complaint of Paul Bonacci be dismissed as to each of them and

that they each have and recover their costs expended herein.

CITY OF OMAHA, MICHAEL HOCH and KENNETH BOVASSO, Defendants

JAMES E. BELLOWS, #11261
Deputy City Attorney
WENDY E. HAHN, #17695
Assistant City Attorney
804 Omaha/Douglas Civic Center
1819 Farnam Street
Omaha, Nebraska 68183
Telephone: 402/444-5115

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing ANSWER was sent by regular United States mail, postage prepaid, on this day of February, 1991, to:

Mr. Allen Daubman Attorney at Law One Pacific Place Suite 800 1125 South 103rd Street Omaha, Nebraska 68124 Mr. Lyle Koening 147 No. 4th P.O. Box 48 Hebron (Mayer), NE 68370

John DeCamp DeCamp Legal Services P.C. 521 S. 14th St. Suite 300 Lincoln, NE 68508 Mr. Michael O'Brien Attorney at Law 318 South 19th St. Omaha, Nebraska 68102

Mr. Tyler Gaines Attorney at Law 10050 Regency Circle Suite 200 Omaha, NE 68114

Mr. David Peterson Attorney at Law 1500 Woodmen Tower Omaha, Nebraska 68102

C. E. Heaney 13006 Regency Parkway Drive Omaha, Nebraska 68114 Steve Seline & Tory Bishop Attorneys at Law 1650 Farnam Street Omaha, Nebraska 68102

Mr. Ed Hotz Attorney at Law 10250 Regency Circle Suite 100 Omaha, Nebraska 68114

Don Stenberg, Attorney General ATTN: Susan Ugai, Asst. Atty. Gen. 2115 State Capitol Lincoln, NE 68509 Mr. Ed Warin 800 Commercial Federal Tower 2120 South 72nd Street Omaha, Nebraska 68124

Wengy HOTT

5881C

	TES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
	91 FEB 11 PM 3: 05
Bongcc'	NORBERT H. EBEL CLERK W
Plaintiff	PRAECIPE
vs.	Case No. <u>CU91-3037</u>
Catholic Archlishop eta	SUMMONS ISSUED THIS DATE
To the Clerk of said Court:  COMPGINT TO FOLLOWING DEAD  UAN PELT  WADMAN  DOUGLAS COUNTY (TRAND JURY  FLANAGAN  KINH  CITRON  Baer  ANDERSON  HOCH  BOUMSSU  Dated this day of	endants:  JLB-unders & Sons, Inc  Dmaha W: Herald Co  NeB Psychological Assoc  CATROCIC Arch Bishopyour  Omaha Public School  City of Omaha
*	At and

DECAMP LEAH(
Address
Attorney for PL

S21 S, 14 Gucow 68707

477-3974

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

FILED 342 U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MEBRASKA	
99 FEB 22 AM 8: 15	
GARY D. MCEADLAND	

			20. FR FF WILL O. 12
PAUL A. BONACCI,	)	4:CV91-3037	GARY D. MCFARLAND CLERK
Plaintiff,	) - )		CLEUN
vs.	)	FINAL JUDGME MEMORIALIZIN	
THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF	)		S, EXCEPT THOSE
OMAHA, et al.,	)	AGAINST LAWE	RENCE E. KING
Defendants.	)		

IT IS ORDERED that judgment be entered for all defendants, except Lawrence E. King, on the following bases:

- 1. the Catholic Archbishop of Omaha-failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted in the second amended complaint, as found regarding the first amended complaint in the court's memorandum and order dated July 18, 1991, filing 53;
- 2. Peter Citron-dismissed by order, filing 229, on motion of the plaintiff;
- 3. Harold Anderson-dismissed by order, filing 166, at the request of the plaintiff;
- 4. Robert Wadman-dismissed by order, filing 203, on motion for summary judgment;
- 5. Michael Hoch-dismissed by order, filing 218, on motion for summary judgment;
- 6. Kenneth Bovasso-dismissed by agreement of the parties, filing 194;
- 7. The City of Omaha-dismissed by order, filing 218, on motion for summary judgment;
- The Douglas County Grand Jury—no service of process accomplished;
- 9. Michael Flannagan-dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted in the second amended complaint, as was found regarding the first amended complaint by order, filing 52;
- 10. Samuel Van Pelt-dismissed by order, filing 52, relating to the first amended complaint for prosecutorial immunity, which is equally applicable to the allegations of the second amended complaint;

Copies mailed on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

- 11. The Omaha Public School District-dismissed by order, filing 64, granting the motion for summary judgment;
- 12. The Omaha World Herald-dismissed by order, filing 53, granting the motion to dismiss;
- 13. J. L. Brandeis & Sons, Inc.—dismissed by order, filing 195, on motion for summary judgment and omission of that defendant from the second amended complaint;
- 14. Alan Baer-dismissed by order, filing 196, on motion for summary judgment; and
- 15. Nebraska Psychological Associates, f/k/a Nebraska Psychiatric Institute, a non-profit corporation—omitted from second amended complaint, filing 139.

Dated February 19, 1999.

BY THE COURT

United States Senior District Judge

IN THE U	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NEBRASKA THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA 99 FEB 22 AH 8: 14
PAUL A. BONACCI,	) 4:CV91-3037 GARY D. MCFARLAND
Plaintiff,	) CLERK
vs.	) MEMORANDUM OF DECISION
LAWRENCE E. KING,	) )
Defendant.	) )

On February 27, 1998, I found that default judgment should be entered against the defendant Lawrence E. King in favor of the plaintiff, Paul A. Bonacci. A trial on the issue of the damages due the plaintiff by that defendant was had on February 5, 1999.

Two counts are alleged against the defendant King in the complaint. Count V alleges a conspiracy with public officers to deprive the plaintiff of his civil rights, designed to continue to subject the plaintiff to emotional abuse and to prevent him from informing authorities of criminal conduct. Count VIII charges battery, false imprisonment, infliction of emotional distress, negligence and conspiracy to deprive the plaintiff of civil rights. Between December 1980 and 1988, the complaint alleges, the defendant King continually subjected the plaintiff to repeated sexual assaults, false imprisonments, infliction of extreme emotional distress, organized and directed satanic rituals, forced the plaintiff to "scavenge" for children to be a part of the defendant King's sexual abuse and pornography ring, forced the plaintiff to engage in numerous sexual contacts with the defendant King and others and participate in deviate sexual games and masochistic orgies with other minor children. The defendant King's default has made those allegations true as to him. The issue now is the relief to be granted monetarily.

The now uncontradicted evidence is that the plaintiff has suffered much. He has suffered burns, broken fingers, beatings of the head and face and other indignities by the wrongful actions of the defendant King. In addition to the misery of going through the experiences just related over a period of eight years, the plaintiff has suffered the lingering results to the present time. He is a victim of multiple personality disorder, involving as many as fourteen distinct personalities aside from his primary personality. He has given up a desired military career and received threats on his life. He suffers from sleeplessness, has bad dreams, has difficulty in holding a job, is fearful that others are following him, fears getting killed, has depressing flashbacks, and is verbally violent on occasion, all in connection with the multiple personality disorder and caused by the wrongful activities of the defendant King.

Almost certainly the defendant King has little remaining financial resources, but a fair judgment to compensate the plaintiff is necessary. For the sixteen years since the abuse of the plaintiff began I conclude that a fair compensation for the damages he has suffered is \$800,000. A punitive award also is justified, but the amount needs to be limited because of the small effect

240

FILED

that such a judgment would have on the defendant King, given his financial condition and his presence now in prison. I deem a punitive award of \$200,000 to be adequate.

Dated February 19, 1999.

BY THE COURT

United States Senior District Judge

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

99 FEB -5	PM	2:
99 FEB -5 GARY D. M CLERK	cFAI	REAND 10

PAUL A. BONACCI,	)
	) Case No. 4:91CV3037
mā simules	)
Plaintiff,	) LIST OF WITNESSES
vs.	) ) Domuter Golloon Down
	<pre>Deputy: Colleen Beran Reporter: Gary Latimer</pre>
CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP, et al.	Hearing Date: 2/5/99
Defendants.	)

#### FOR PLAINTIFF:

<u>Name</u>

<u>Date</u>

Name	Date	
Noreen Natalie Gosch	2/5/99	
Russell Eric Nelson	2/5/99	
Paul Anthony Bonacci	2/5/99	
Denise Ann Bonacci	2/5/99	
	*5	-

#### FOR DEFENDANT:

Name	Date

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

U.S	s. DIS	TRII	CT :	COUF
DIST	RICT	OF		BRAS

991	FEB	-53	BM	2:
-----	-----	-----	----	----

GARY D. MOFARLA	١
CI FRK#	

PAUL	A.	BONACCI,	)
			)
		Plaintiff,	)
			1

LIST OF EXHIBITS

CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP, et al.

Defendants.

Case Number: 4:91CV3037 Courtroom Deputy:Colleen Beran

Court Reporter: Gary Latimer

Hearing Date: February 5, 1999

ехнівіт но.			28					
PLF	DFT	3 PTY	DESCRIPTION	OFF	OBJ	RCVD	NOT RCVD	DATE
1			Bag containing 11 tapes	Х		х		2/5/99
2			Picture of Russell Nelson	х		Х		2/5/99
3	2		Picture of Larry King and pals in New York	х	55	х		2/5/99
4			Picture of Larry King	Х		х		2/5/99
5			Picture of Larry King's mansion	х		х		2/5/99
б			Picture of limousine at airport	х		х		2/5/99
7			Book: <u>The Franklin Cover-up</u>	х		х		2/5/99
								20
					9			
								N.
					100			
							S.F.	•

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PAUL A. BONACCI,	)	4:CV91-3037
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	MOTION TO CONTINUE
LAWRENCE E. KING,	)	
Defendant.	)	

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Paul A. Bonacci, by and through his attorney, John W. DeCamp, of DeCamp Legal Services, P.C., and herewith applies for a continuance of the hearing in the above-captioned case for the following reasons, to wit:

- 1. Hearing for the above-captioned matter has been set for Friday, February 5, 1999, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom #4, United States Courthouse, Lincoln, Nebraska.
- 2. Counsel for Plaintiff plans to introduce various exhibits and the testimony of various witnesses at the above-mentioned hearing. However, at least two such witnesses, Mr. Rusty Nelson and Ms. Alisha Owen, will not be able to attend the hearing, as they are currently incarcerated. Mr. Nelson's and Ms. Owen's testimony at the hearing is crucial to Plaintiff's case, and Mr. Nelson is in possession or control of photographs crucial to the Plaintiff's case.
- 3. Counsel for Plaintiff requires additional time within which to arrange for the appearance of Mr. Nelson, Ms. Owen, and other witnesses and exhibits.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for a continuance in the above-captioned case, until the 6<sup>th</sup> day of May, 1999, or until the next most available court term.

Dated this 25th day of January, 1999.

PAUL A. BONACCI, Plaintiff

By:(

John W. DeCamp, Neb. Bar # 10951

DoCAMP LEGAL SERVICES, P.C

Attorneys for Plaintiff 414 South 11th Street

Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

(402) 477-3974

(402) 477-4487 fax

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On January 25, 1999, a copy of this Motion to Continue was served upon the following by United States certified mail, postage prepaid:

Mr. Lawrence E. King Pris. I.D. # 12834-047 FCI Florence P.O. Box 6000 Florence, Colorado 81226

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America

that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date

JOHN W NeCAMP, Attorney for Plaintiff