IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF MEBRASKA
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MEBRASKA

93 JAN -7 PM 3: 23 - 94

PAUL A. BONACCI,

Plaintiff,

V.

ORDER

THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF OMAHA, et al.,

Defendants.

Pursuant to a Stipulation of the Nebraska Department of Correctional Services and the parties hereto, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that the medical records maintained by the Department of Correctional Services (DCS) concerning Paul A. Bonacci, be made available for inspection by Edward G. Warin, Attorney at Law, on behalf of the Defendants and John DeCamp, Attorney at Law, as counsel for the Plaintiff, under the following conditions:

- 1. That the inspection of the above file in question shall be conducted by counsel at the DCS institution which currently maintains said file.
- 2. That any copies of material from said file desired by counsel shall be identified by counsel to institutional personnel whose responsibility it shall be to create and deliver to counsel the requested copies within a reasonable time following the request.
- 3. That the reasonable cost of the copies requested by counsel shall be paid by counsel directly to the DCS institution creating and delivering said copies.

4. That counsel shall not make available to any person committed to DCS the content of the file here in question without further order of this Court.

DATED /- 7-93...

BY THE COURT:

Judge

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Defendants.

	₩	NORBERT H FREI	has
PAUL A. BONACCI,	>	NORBERT H. EBEL CASE NO. CV 91-3037 CLERK	
Plaintiff,	\		
vs.	\(\)	MOTION TO QUASH AND	6
THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF OMAHA, et al.,) }	MOTION FOR SANCTIONS	

COMES NOW the defendant City of Omaha, and moves the Court, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 45(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order quashing the subpoena duces tecum served upon it to produce for inspection designated items. In support of this motion, the City of Omaha shows the Court that under date of September 1, 1992, it received a subpoena duces tecum, annexed hereto and identified as Exhibit "A", commanding it to produce for inspection: "All video tapes, photographs, recordings and all other electronic, photographic media seized by the Omaha Police Department in conjunction with the investigation and arrest of Peter Citron."

On May 15, 1992 this Court entered an order which holds in pertinent part: "All discovery in this matter is limited to determining the plaintiff's mental condition regarding the allegation that he suffers from multiple personality disorder, until the further order of the court." The materials sought to be inspected do not, by the broadest stretch of the imagination, fall within the exception to this order.

Defendant City of Omaha further moves the Court for sanctions pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 for the reason that the subpoena was issued in violation of the Court's order of May 15, 1992 and is, therefore, not warranted by law.

CITY OF OMAHA, Defendant

By Weuch New James E. FELLOWS
Deputy City Attorney
WENDY E. HAHN
Assistant City Attorney
804 Omaha/Douglas Civic Center
1819 Farnam Street
Omaha, Nebraska 68183
Telephone: 402/444-5115

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION TO QUASH AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was sent by regular United States mail, postage prepaid, on this and day of September, 1992, to:

John W. DeCamp 521 South 14th Street, #300 Lincoln, NE 68508

Steven W. Seline 1650 Farnam Street Omaha, NE 68102 Lyle J. Koenig 147 North 4th Hebron, NE 68370

Edward G. Warin
One Central Park Plaza, #1100
222 South 15th Street
Omaha, NE 68102

Tyler B. Gaines 10050 Regency Circle, Suite 200 Omaha, NE 68114

7952B

Maritan Atombra minterior Mariat

Territien Soft	ites Bistrict Court
	_ DISTRICT OF
PAUL A. BONACCI V.	SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE
THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF CMAHA,	et. al. CASE NUMBER:CV 91-3037
TO: OMAHA POLICE DEPARTMENT, PROPI Omaha, Nebraska	ERTY CUSTODY DIVISION
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the Unite testify in the above case.	d States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below to
PLACE OF TRETIMONY	БОЦЯТАСОМ
	DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, in the above case.	date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
place, date, and time specified below (list document	Inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the its or objects): The Police Department in conjunction with the Old Elections, professible media
PLACE	DATE AND TIME
Omaha Police Department Headquarte 505 South 15th Street, Omaha, NE	September 2, 1992 at 1:30pm
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of	of the following premises at the date and time specified below.
Přířmises 	DATE AND TIME
officers, directors, or managing agents, or other par	subposneed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more sons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b) (5). LANTIFF OF DEFENDANT) DATE DATE DATE
BESHING OFFICERS HAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE HOUSER	51 10000 VI: (1508, 400) 477-3974

•	PROOF OF \$	ERVICE	
SERVED BATE	31-92 PLACE ON	note Faile D	pT
SERVED ON (PAINT HAMB) Paker Sav	erty	MANNER OF ABRIAGE	F/I
Calet Jane	Jan .	117LE	24 25 26
	DECLARATION	OF SERVER	
tained in the Proof of Service is true		alted States of America that the foregoing	Information con-
Executed on		SIGNATURE OF SERVER	
84	4.40	address of Server	
			7

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUSPCENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpound chall take responsible steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpound. The court on behalf of which the subpound was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate senction, which may include, but is not limited to; lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2)(A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of the subposes or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subposes written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subposes shall not be entitled to inspect and copy the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subposes was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subposes may, upon order to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subposes was issued shall quash or modify the subposes if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the piace where that person resides, is amployed or requirily transacts business in-person resides, is amployed or requirily transacts business in-per-

son, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any auch place within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

-- (lv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoens

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(it) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, of

(iii) requires a parson who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoens, questi or modify the subpoens of, if the party in whose behalf the subpoens issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoens is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) A person responding to a subposes to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(2) When information subject to a subposen is withheld on a cizim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation meterials, the cizim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the cizim.

CE Heaney, Attorney for the Catholic Archbishop of Omaha, 10306 Regency Parkway Drive, Omaha, NE 68114

Lyle Koenig, Attorney for Peter Citron, 147 N 4th Street, Hebron, NE 68370

Ed Warin, Attorney for Alan Baer and J.L. Brandeis & Sons, Inc., 2120 S. 72nd Omaha, NE 68124-2342

Steve Seline, Tory Bishop, Attorney for Alan Baer, 1650 Farnam, Omaha, NE 68102

Tyler Gaines, Attorney for Harold Anderson, 10050 Regency Circle #200, Omaha, NE 68114

Wendy Hahn, Attorney for Michael Hoch, Kenneth Bovasso, City of Omaha and Robert Wadman, 1819 Farnam, Omaha, NE 68102

John Douglas, Attorney for Omaha Public School District, 8805 Indian Hills Drive #300, Omaha, NE 68114

Allen Daubman, Attorney for the Omaha World Hearld, One Pacific Place #800, 1125 S 103rd, Omaha, NE 68124

David Peterson, Attorney for Omaha Public School District, 31500 Woodmen Tower, Omaha, NE 68102-2069

Don Stenberg, Attorney General, State Capitol, Lincoln, NE 68509

United States District Court

		Nichbier)F	
		NEBRASKA	JF	Α
PA	UL A. BONACCI V.	4	SUBPOR	ENA IN A CIVIL CASE
TH	E CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF	OMAHA, et. al.	CASE NUMBE	FR:CV 91-3037
				¥
TO:	OMAHA POLICE DEPARTMENT	I', PROPERTY CUSTO	NOISIVID YC	T as
	Onaria, Neblaska			
YO testify in	U ARE COMMANDED to appear in the above case.	the United States Distri	ct Court at the	place, date, and time specified below to
PLACE OF	TESTIMONY	<u> </u>	(S)	COURTROOM
-				
			Σ	DATE AND TIME
				25
place, da	ate, and time specified below (list o	documents or objects):		e following documents or objects at the
inv	video tapes seized by the estigation and arrest of	Peter Citron.	epartment i	in conjunction with the
PLACE				DATE AND TIME
Oma	ha Police Department Head	dquarters,		
	South 15th Street, Omaha			September 2, 1992 at 1:30p
☐ YOU	J ARE COMMANDED to permit ins	pection of the following	premises at th	e date and time specified below.
PREMISES			123	DATE AND TIME
				<i>(</i>)
Any	organization not a party to this sui	t that is subpoensed for	the taking of a	deposition shall designate one or more
				its behalf, and may set forth, for each
	esignated, the matters on which the			
ISSUING OF	CER SIGNATURE AND TITLE INDICATE IF ATTO	RNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFEND	ANT)	1128 AUS 12
ISSUING OFF	TICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER	1		1) 2011
1. 1	1, 8.5	D.	1	1.25

		PROOF OF	SERVICE		
	DATE	PLACE			
SERVED					
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)			MANNER OF SERVIC	E	
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		<u></u>	ППЕ	¥	4
		DECLARATION			
	r penalty of perjury un of Service is true and		nited States of An	nerica that the forego	ing information con-
	*				
Executed on			SIGNATURE OF SERV	VER	8
			ADDRESS OF SERVE	ER .	
				. <u>.</u>	· · · · ·

Rule 45. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2)(A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
 - to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regular ansacts business in per-

son, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such piace within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.