IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

U. S. DISTRICT COURT.
DISTRICT OF HEBRASKA

91 MAR 29 PM 3: 50-4

	BIMEI OF MEB	KASKA	01 M 50 20 PM 0. Fo
PAUL A. BONACCI,)	CV 91-3037	91 MAR 29 PM 3: 50-
Plaintiff.	Ś	CV 31-3037	NORBERT H. EBEL
r lammi,	No. A		OLLINI

THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF OMAHA, a non-profit corporation, LAWRENCE KING, PETER CITRON, ALAN BAER, HAROLD ANDERSON, ROBERT WADMAN, MICHAEL HOCH,) KENNETH BOVASSO, NEBRASKA PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION. INC., f/k/a NEBRASKA PSYCHIATRIC INSTITUTE, a non-profit corporation, THE CITY OF OMAHA, NEBRASKA, a) municipal corporation, THE OMAHA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT, OMAHA WORLD HERALD COMPANY, a corporation, J.L. BRANDEIS AND SONS, INC., a corporation, THE DOUGLAS COUNTY GRAND JURY, MICHAEL FLANAGAN, an individual, and SAMUEL VAN PELT, an individual,

Defendants.

v.

MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT AND MOTION TO DISMISS

DEFENDANT MICHAEL FLANAGAN'S

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b) defendant Michael Flanagan moves the court for an order dismissing the above-captioned action on the grounds that:

- (1) The court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation;
- (2) Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted as to this defendant;
- (3) This court lacks jurisdiction over the defendant Flanagan in any capacity as a member of the Douglas County Grand Jury pursuant to the protection of the Eleventh Amendment; and

(4) The defendant listed in his official and individual capacities is entitled to absolute immunity, or in the alternative, qualified immunity. Thus, this court lacks jurisdiction over said defendant.

In the alternative, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(e), defendant moves the court for an order requiring plaintiff to make his complaint herein more definite on the grounds that:

- (1) Plaintiff's complaint fails to specifically allege which of his constitutional rights and civil rights have been violated;
- (2) Plaintiff's complaint fails to specifically allege how defendant's actions constituted violations of plaintiff's constitutional rights and civil rights; and
- (3) Plaintiff's complaint fails to allege facts sufficient to find that defendant was acting outside the scope of his authority as a member of the grand jury.

Dated this 29th day of March, 1991.

MICHAEL FLANAGAN, Defendant, BY DON STENBERG, #14023 Attorney General

DV

Susan M. Ugai, #16677 Assistant Attorney General

2115 State Capitol

Lincoln, NE 68509

Tel: (402) 471-2682

Attorneys for Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing Motion for More Definite Statement and Motion to Dismiss upon the plaintiff herein by placing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, addressed to plaintiff's

attorney of record, John W. DeCamp, DeCamp Legal Services, P.C., 300 Executive Building, 521 South 14th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508, and upon defendants herein by placing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid addressed to defendant Catholic Archbishop of Omaha's attorneys of record, Edward D. Hotz, Attorney at Law, 10250 Regency Circle, Suite 100, Omaha, Nebraska 68114, and C.E. Heaney, Jr., Attorney at Law, 10306 Regency Parkway Drive, Omaha, Nebraska 68114; Peter Citron's attorney of record, Lyle Koenig, Attorney at Law, 147 N. 4th Street, P.O. Box 48, Hebron, Nebraska 68370; defendants Alan Baer and J.L. Brandeis and Sons, Inc.'s attorneys of record Edward G. Warin, Attorney at Law, 800 Commercial Federal Tower, 2120 South 72nd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-2342, and Steven W. Seline and Tory M. Bishop, Attorneys at Law, 1650 Farnam St., Omaha, Nebraska 68102; defendant Harold Anderson's attorney of record Tyler B. Gaines, Attorney at Law, 10050 Regency Circle, Suite 200, Omaha, Nebraska 68114; defendant Robert Wadman's attorney of record Michael M. O'Brien, Attorney at Law, 318 S. 19th Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102; defendants Michael Hoch, Kenneth Bovasso, and the City of Omaha, Nebraska's attorneys of record, James E. Fellows, Deputy City Attorney, and Wendy E. Hahn, Assistant City Attorney, 1819 Farnam Street, Suite 804, Omaha, Nebraska 68102; defendant Omaha Public School District's attorney of record, David M. Pedersen, Attorney at Law, 1500 Woodmen Tower, Omaha, Nebraska 68102-2069; and defendant Omaha World Herald Company's attorney of record, Allen E. Daubman, Attorney at Law, One Pacific Place, Suite 800, 1125 S. 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124, on this 29th day of March, 1991.

Susan M. Ugai

Assistant Attorney General

11:

27-378

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PAUL A. BONACCI,	CV 91-3037
Plaintiff,	NORBERT H. EBEL ${\cal O}$
v.	WITHDRAWAL OF APPEARANCE AS COUNSEL
THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF	12 00011022
OMAHA, a non-profit corporation,	25
LAWRENCE KING, PETER CITRON,	
ALAN BAER, HAROLD ANDERSON,	8 0
ROBERT WADMAN, MICHAEL HOCH,)	
KENNETH BOVASSO, NEBRASKA	Chris
PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION,	52 Kg
INC., f/k/a NEBRASKA PSYCHIATRIC	:
INSTITUTE, a non-profit corporation,	2
THE CITY OF OMAHA, NEBRASKA, a)	
municipal corporation, THE OMAHA	
PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT, OMAHA	2
WORLD HERALD COMPANY, a	
corporation, J.L. BRANDEIS AND SONS, INC., a corporation, THE	
DOUGLAS COUNTY GRAND JURY,	
MICHAEL FLANAGAN, an individual,	¥
and SAMUEL VAN PELT, an individual,	9.9
-)	5 S
Defendants	

COMES NOW Susan M. Ugai, Assistant Attorney General, and withdraws as counsel in the above matter for the reason that after July 12, 1991, she will no longer represent the State of Nebraska as an Assistant Attorney General.

SAMUEL VAN PELT, Defendant,

BY DON STENBERG, #14023 Attorney General

DV

Susan M. Ugai, #16677 Assistant Attorney General

2115 State Capitol Lincoln, NE 68509

Tel: (402) 471-2682

Attorneys for Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing Withdrawal of Appearance as Counsel upon the plaintiff herein by placing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, addressed to plaintiff's attorney of record, John W. DeCamp, DeCamp Legal Services, P.C., 300 Executive Building, 521 South 14th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508, and upon defendants herein by placing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid addressed to defendant Catholic Archbishop of Omaha's attorneys of record, Edward D. Hotz, Attorney at Law, 10250 Regency Circle, Suite 100, Omaha, Nebraska 68114, and C.E. Heaney, Jr., Attorney at Law, 10306 Regency Parkway Drive, Omaha, Nebraska 68114; Peter Citron's attorney of record, Lyle Koenig, Attorney at Law, 147 N. 4th Street, P.O. Box 48, Hebron, Nebraska 68370; defendants Alan Baer and J.L. Brandeis and Sons, Inc.'s attorneys of record Edward G. Warin, Attorney at Law, 800 Commercial Federal Tower, 2120 South 72nd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-2342, and Steven W. Seline and Tory M. Bishop, Attorneys at Law, 1650 Farnam St., Omaha, Nebraska 68102; defendant Harold Anderson's attorney of record Tyler B. Gaines, Attorney at Law, 10050 Regency Circle, Suite 200, Omaha, Nebraska 68114; defendant Robert Wadman's attorney of record Michael M. O'Brien, Attorney at Law, 318 S. 19th Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102; defendants Michael Hoch, Kenneth Bovasso, and the City of Omaha, Nebraska's attorneys of record, James E. Fellows, Deputy City Attorney, and Wendy E. Hahn, Assistant City Attorney, 1819 Farnam Street, Suite 804, Omaha, Nebraska 68102; defendant Omaha Public School District's attorney of record, David M. Pedersen, Attorney at Law, 1500 Woodmen Tower, Omaha, Nebraska 68102-2069; and defendant Omaha World Herald Company's attorney of record, Allen E. Daubman, Attorney at Law, One Pacific Place, Suite 800, 1125 S. 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124, and defendant Samuel Van Pelt, Route One, Box 169, Hickman, Nebraska 64372, and Michael P. Flanagan, 1416 Dodge Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68179, on this 15th day of July, 1991.

Susan M. Ugai

Assistant Attorney General

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

91 JUL 18 PH 12: 05 52

PAUL A. BONACCI,

4:CV91-3037

HORBERT H. EBEL CO

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER ON CLERK

vs.

MOTIONS TO DISMISS BY DEFENDANTS FLANAGAN

THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF OMAHA, et al.,

AND VAN PELT

Defendants.

The plaintiff, Paul A. Bonacci, has brought this action against various individuals and entities for deprivation of civil rights, personal injury, and abuse of statutory authority relating to grand juries. As to defendants Van Pelt and Flanagan, Bonacci seeks monetary and equitable relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for their alleged violation of Nebraska state law regulating grand jury procedure. Jurisdiction is claimed under 28 U.S.C. § 1343 for the civil rights claims; pendent and ancillary jurisdiction is claimed for the related state claims.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) and (e), defendants Van Pelt and Flanagan have moved for dismissal for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and, in the alternative, for a more definite statement. The plaintiff has not responded to the motions.

Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6), "a motion to dismiss a complaint should not be granted unless it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts which would entitle him to relief." Morton v. Becker, 793 F.2d 185, 187 (8th Cir. 1986). In resolving such motions, allegations in the complaint must be taken as true. In addition, the complaint and "all reasonable inferences arising therefrom" must be construed in favor of the plaintiff. Id. Applying this standard to the amended complaint, I find the plaintiff has failed to state a valid cause of action and shall grant the motions to dismiss.

I. FACTS AND ALLEGATIONS

The allegations contained in the amended complaint present a litary of sexual and physical abuse beginning when the plaintiff was six years old and ending in his own arrest and conviction for child sexual abuse. In 1990, a Douglas County grand jury was empowered to investigate the failure of the Franklin Credit Union in Omaha, Nebraska. This investigation eventually encompassed accusations that prominent Omaha businessmen had operated a child sex ring of which Bonacci had allegedly been a victim. Bonacci testified before this grand jury as to matters relating to his

involvement in this sex ring and was subsequently indicted for perjury.

Defendant Van Pelt was duly appointed as Special Prosecutor of the Franklin Credit Union investigation. The amended complaint alleges Van Pelt, "in taking testimony from witness Mark Coleman knew Coleman was presenting false and perjured testimony . . . Van Pelt further suppressed from the Grand Jury written documents in Van Pelt's possession which clearly established that Coleman's testimony was perjured." (Amended Complaint ¶ 21).

According to the Amended Complaint, defendant Flanagan, the grand jury foreman, made public statements alleging that the grand jury indicted Bonacci because he would not recant his testimony. The plaintiff also alleges Flanagan should not have been grand jury foreman because of accusations of pandering made against him, that Flanagan improperly shared grand jury information with other individuals, and that after release of the grand jury report, Flanagan made improper public disclosures of grand jury proceedings. (Amended Complaint ¶¶ 22, 26-27).

II. PROSECUTORIAL IMMUNITY

The allegations against Van Pelt are not materially different from those made in another case recently dismissed by this court on the basis of absolute immunity. DeCamp v. Douglas County Franklin Grand Jury, CV90-L-345 (D. Neb. Jan. 3, 1991). In that case, I concluded that the grand jurors and the special prosecutor were entitled to absolute immunity from liability under section 1983 so long as the complained of conduct was undertaken pursuant to their judicial authority. Id. at 4-5. See Imbler v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409, 423-24 (1976). See also Slavin v. Curry, 574 F.2d 1256 (5th Cir. 1978) (prosecutor was absolutely immune from claims that he presented fraudulent and illegal evidence to the grand jury); Rose v. Koch, 465 F. Supp. 1157 (E.D.N.Y. 1979) (absolute prosecutorial immunity applied where prosecutor allegedly presented false evidence to grand jury). Accordingly, the claims against Van Pelt will be dismissed as he is absolutely immune from liability for acts taken within the scope of his prosecutorial role.

IV. GRAND JUROR MISCONDUCT

I shall also dismiss the claims against Flanagan, although for reasons different than those relating to Van Pelt. Absolute immunity is equally applicable to grand jurors, including the jury foreman, for acts taken pursuant to their judicial function. However, the sum of the allegations against Flanagan focus on conduct taken outside his statutorily created role and in violation of the statutes creating and defining that role.

Nevertheless, dismissal is proper because the allegations do not state a claim upon which relief can be granted. First, the allegations regarding Flanagan's improper communications with non-jury members during the grand jury proceedings present a claim that the grand jury process and the resulting indictment were tainted. The same can be said for the claim that Flanagan should not have been permitted to serve as jury foreman. However, even if those allegations are true, they do not state a claim for relief as Flanagan is not the proper party from whom to seek equitable relief and no cause of action for damages has been stated.

Second, the allegation that Flanagan made public statements regarding the grand jury proceedings after the grand jury was dismissed does not state a claim for damages. There is no allegation that these statements caused injury to the plaintiff. At most, such conduct would indicate a violation of the juror's oath, an infraction that does not subject the offender to civil liability.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- defendant Van Pelt's motion to dismiss, filing 40, is granted; and
- 2. defendant Flanagan's motion to dismiss, filing 43, is granted.

Dated July 18, 1991.

BY THE COURT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF REBRASKA

91 JUL 31 PH 1:28-58 NORBERT H. ERFI.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PAUL BONACCI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE
DEFENSES OF PETER L. CITRON

THE CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP
OF OMAHA, et al.,

Defendants.

ANSWER

- 1. The identification of the parties in the Complaint is admitted.
- 2. Peter L. Citron denies each and every allegation of plaintiff's Complaint, including all numbered and unnumbered paragraphs therein, except allegations against plaintiff's interests, and plaintiff is left to his strict proof thereof.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. The Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the Complaint.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. Plaintiff lacks the capacity to sue.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. Plaintiff's claims against Peter L. Citron are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. Plaintiff's allegations against Peter L. Citron are without basis, frivolous, and constitute an attempt to harass Peter L. Citron, entitling him to sanctions against plaintiff and plaintiff's attorney for Peter L. Citron's costs and reasonable attorney's fees in defense of this frivolous action.

WHEREFORE, Peter L. Citron prays that plaintiff's First
Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice as against Peter L.
Citron, and that Peter L. Citron recover his costs and reasonable
attorney's fees under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, for the
reason that this action is without basis, frivolous, and constitutes an attempt to harass Peter L. Citron.

PETER L. CITRON Defendant

Bv

Lyle/Joseph Koenig Counselor at Law

P.O. Box 48

Hebron, Ne 68370 (402) 768-7402

12282

His Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing pleading was sent by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid this 36 day of July, 1991, to:

Mr. Allen Daubman Attorney at Law One Pacific Place Suite 800 1125 South 103rd Street Omaha, Ne 68124 Ms. Wendy E. Hahn
Assistant City Attorney
804 Omaha/Douglas
Civic Center
1819 Farnam Street
Omaha, Ne 68183