INFORMATION IN RESPONSE TO PRESS QUESTIONS TO JOHN DE CAMP & PAUL BONACCI

27 AUGUST 1990 RE: LEGAL REPRESENTATION OF MR. PAUL BONACCI BY JOHN DE CAMP & MR. BONACCI'S INFORMATION TO PRESS & PUBLIC ON CREDIBILITY ISSUE

Press questions to me recently relative to whether I was representing Mr. Paul Bonacci, indicted by the Franklin Grand Jury, have developed & after consulting with Mr. Bonacci I determined this format best to answer those questions and avoid any false impressions and to allow Mr. Bonacci an opportunity to provide information to the press on his situation.

I, John De Camp, was contacted by Mr. Bonacci apprx. two weeks ago and asked to represent him in his various matters, criminal and possibly civil, particularly as those matters relate to the indictments against Mr. Bonacci by the Douglas County Grand Jury dealing with Franklin matters.

Tadvised Mr. Bonacci that before I could represent him I must personally satisfy myself on three critical matters. I spent apprx. Len days accomplishing this task. I have satisfied myself on those three matters and have agreed to represent him.

Those three matters were:

- 1. That I must personally absolutely know and believe based on my own personal investigation independent of any Grand Jury Report or Legislative Investigation or other investigation that Mr. Bonacci was telling the truth and that truth could be independently verified. This requirement based on information he provided me has been satisfied to my satisfaction.
- 2. That I had no conflicts of interest based on any other entities I represent or that any potential conflicts could be dealt with.
- 3. That absent Mr. Bonacci's having "outside" legal help a high probability existed that justice would not be accomplished. Also, in order to achieve justice and protect the life and health of the defendant we agreed we would follow a POLICY of TOTAL DISCLOSURE TO THE PRESS AND THE PUBLIC BY PAUL BONACCI HIMSELF OF ALL FACTS POSSIBLE SO THAT THE PRESS AND THE PUBLIC COULD INDEPENDENTLY EXAMINE AND EITHER VERIFY THAT MR. BONACCI WAS IN FACT EITHER A PATHETIC LIAR OR A HOPELESSLY ABUSED INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS LIKE A VOICE CRYING OUT FOR JUSTICE WITH INDIVIDUALS IN OFFICIAL POSITIONS NOT WANTING HIS STORY HEARD OR TOLD PRECISELY BECAUSE IT IS SUCH DEVASTATING TRUTH.

In accordance with that policy of TOTAL DISCLOSURE so that the public and press can independently verify whether Bonacci is a truth teller or a pathetic liar, Paul Bonacci would offer two initial areas of conflict the press should IMMEDIATELY examine:

I. Page 17 of the Grand Jury report, upon which presumably Bonacci's indictment was based, says that Bonacci's "...psychiatrist doubts that he (Bonacci) can tell the truth." We believe this statement is absolutely false and Mr. Bonacci hereby gives his permission to the psychiatrist to DISCLOSE ANY FACTS RELATING TO THIS CLAIM BY THE GRAND JURY.

2. A reading of the Grand Jury Report gives the impression that the alleged "carefully crafted hoax" was crafted sometime in the fall of 1988 and that MR. BONACCI SUPPOSEDLY LEARNED OF THIS HOAX IN ABOUT APRIL OF 1990 WHEN BONACCI FIRST MET GARY CARADORI AND THAT MR. BONACCI FIRST CAME UP WITH BONACCI'S STORY INVOLVING THE FRANKLIN PERSONALITIES AND THE STORIES OF CHILD ABUSE, THOSE WELL KNOWN PERSONALITIES INVOLVEMENT, TRIPS TO THE REPUBLICAN NATIONAL CONVENTION,