Tory Smith's Partial List of Congress Who Rape & Murder Kids

Congressperson	Children Raped	Children Murdered
Ted Cruz	328	26
Orin Hatch	427	51
Bernie Sanders	218	18
Mark Rubio	156	17
Chuck Grassley	101	14
Patrick J. Leahy	176	14
Ron Johnson	66	3
John Barasso	157	10
Richard Shelby	112	13
Jeff Sessions	76	7
Dan Sullivan	107	3
Chuck E. Schumer	176	14
Harry Reid	128	7
Lindsey Graham (S.C.)	176	15
John Corker	156	17
Mitch McConnell	156	17
Bill Cassidy	46	3
John Thune	128	14
Rand Paul	21	2
Benjamin L Cardin	86	14
Pat Roberts	69	3
David Vitter	17	1
Edward J. Marcky (sp.?)	66	7
Lamar Alexander	56	3
Mike Rounds	54	7
Jerry Muran (sp.?)	66	4
Cory A. Booker	67	2
Robert Menendez	46	1
Martin Heindrich (sp?)	73	4
Dean Heller	64	3
Gary Peters	67	6
Angus S. King Jr.	17	1
Tom Udall	26	1
Al Franken	16	1
Sherrod Brown	17	1
Rob Portman	77	3
James M. Inhofe (sp.?)	16	- 1
James Lankford	56	3
Jeff Merckley (sp.?)	43	2
John Wider	43	1
Robert P. Casey Jr.	76	3
Patrick J. Toomey	53	2
Jack Reid	84	3
Sheldon Whitehouse	17	1 —
	41	T

Tory Smith's Partial List of Congress Who Rape & Murder Kids

Tom Scott (Langley)	3		1
Ben Sassy	26		1
Johnny Isaacson	76		14
David Purdue	17		1
Brian Schwartz	76		6
Mike Krappo	66		7
James E. Rich	74		6
Richard J. Durbin	57		2
Mark Kirk Illinois	63		7
Dan Coats-INDIANA	18		3
Joe Donnelly	16		3
Joe Manchin III	117		17
Mike Enzi	12		1
Bill Nelson	42	65	3
Chris Coons	56		3
Tom Carper	17		1
Chris Murphy	61		4
Michael Blumenthal	64		3
Cory Gardner	51		2
Mike Bennett	81		4
John McCain	136		4 39
Jeff Flake	53		
Tom Cotton	12		2 2
John Boseman	86		3
Tim Kaine	26		2
Mark Warner	57		3
Mike Lee	18		1
John Corman	43		1
Diane Feinstein	45		17
Barbara Boxer			
Shelly Capito			1 3
Lisa M. Collins			1
Lisa Murkowski			3
Debbi Stabenow (sp.?)			
Janine or Joanine Ernest or Ernst			3
Roy Blunt	76		1 13
Thad Cochran (sp.?)	61		10
Roger Wicker	156		17
Steve Dynes or Danes	16		
John Tester (or?)	56		1 3
Richard Buizr	76		
Thom Tillis	64		14
Heidi Heidcamp	UM		3
John Hoyven (sp.?)	42		1
Elizabeth Warren	74		3
			8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA 99 FEB 22 AM 8: 14

			S 111 0 14
PAUL A. BONACCI,)	4:CV91-3037	GARY D. MOFARLAND CLERK
Plaintiff,)		OLEKA
vs.)	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION	
LAWRENCE E. KING,)		×,
Defendant.)		

On February 27, 1998, I found that default judgment should be entered against the defendant Lawrence E. King in favor of the plaintiff, Paul A. Bonacci. A trial on the issue of the damages due the plaintiff by that defendant was had on February 5, 1999.

Two counts are alleged against the defendant King in the complaint. Count V alleges a conspiracy with public officers to deprive the plaintiff of his civil rights, designed to continue to subject the plaintiff to emotional abuse and to prevent him from informing authorities of criminal conduct. Count VIII charges battery, false imprisonment, infliction of emotional distress, negligence and conspiracy to deprive the plaintiff of civil rights. Between December 1980 and 1988, the complaint alleges, the defendant King continually subjected the plaintiff to repeated sexual assaults, false imprisonments, infliction of extreme emotional distress, organized and directed satanic rituals, forced the plaintiff to "scavenge" for children to be a part of the defendant King's sexual abuse and pornography ring, forced the plaintiff to engage in numerous sexual contacts with the defendant King and others and participate in deviate sexual games and masochistic orgies with other minor children. The defendant King's default has made those allegations true as to him. The issue now is the relief to be granted monetarily.

The now uncontradicted evidence is that the plaintiff has suffered much. He has suffered burns, broken fingers, beatings of the head and face and other indignities by the wrongful actions of the defendant King. In addition to the misery of going through the experiences just related over a period of eight years, the plaintiff has suffered the lingering results to the present time. He is a victim of multiple personality disorder, involving as many as fourteen distinct personalities aside from his primary personality. He has given up a desired military career and received threats on his life. He suffers from sleeplessness, has bad dreams, has difficulty in holding a job, is fearful that others are following him, fears getting killed, has depressing flashbacks, and is verbally violent on occasion, all in connection with the multiple personality disorder and caused by the wrongful activities of the defendant King.

Almost certainly the defendant King has little remaining financial resources, but a fair judgment to compensate the plaintiff is necessary. For the sixteen years since the abuse of the plaintiff began I conclude that a fair compensation for the damages he has suffered is \$800,000. A punitive award also is justified, but the amount needs to be limited because of the small effect

I	N THE UNITED STATE FOR THE DISTRICT		SCHITCHES COURT STRICT OF HESEA STA 218 97 JUN 11 PM 3: 21
PAUL A. BONACCI,)	4:CV91-3037	HORBERT H. EBEL &
Plaintiff,)		CLERK
vs.	,	MEMORANDUM A	ND ORDER ON
CITY OF OMAHA, et al.	,)	MOTION FOR SUM OF DEFENDANTS	MARY JUDGMENT CITY OF OMAHA.
Defendants.)	ROBERT WADMA	N, AND MICHAEL

Counts III and IV state the claims against the City of Omaha, former Police Chief Robert Wadman and Police Officer Michael Hoch. Count III alleges deprivation of civil rights of due process, equal protection, and unreasonable seizures; Count IV alleges conspiracy.

Three subparagraph of paragraph 49 of the second amended complaint, filing 139, describe the plaintiff's claim of due process violations.

- "a. The rights under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment the Fourth Amendment, and the 'self-incrimination clause' of the Fifth Amendment to be free of the objectively unreasonable, intentional and unjustified infliction of extreme emotional distress, deliberate and unjustified assaults, detentions, and coercive, heavy handed and outrageous custodial interrogations;
- d. The right under the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment to be free of deliberate police department policy to refuse to enforce laws prohibiting child prostitution and pomography, delinquency, drug abuse when youths such as Plaintiff were the targets of special police department attention;
- e. The right under the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment to be free of deliberate police department policy to prevent the Plaintiff from alternative means of escaping his circumstances of child prostitution, pornography, drug and sex abuse . . ."

The equal protection claim is stated in subparagraphs of paragraph 49 as follows

"b. The right under the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to be

į